

POINCARÉ CATEGORIES

MAXIMILIAN DAVID HANS

ABSTRACT. These are my (admittedly rough) personal notes of my talk on Poincaré categories given at the European Talbot 2026.

Let R be a commutative ring, and P a projective R -module. An R -valued form on P is simply an element in $\mathrm{Hom}_R(P \otimes_R P, R)$. There is an evident C_2 -action on these forms, induced by the C_2 -action on $P \otimes_R P$ which flips the variables. Considering the fixed points and orbits of this C_2 -action, we obtain

- (i) the bijection $\{\text{symmetric } R\text{-valued forms on } P\} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(P \otimes_R P, R)^{C_2}$, and
- (ii) the bijection $\{\text{quadratic } R\text{-valued forms on } P\} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(P \otimes_R P, R)_{C_2}$.

Symmetric and quadratic forms play a pivotal rôle in classical surgery theory, which is crucial in the classification of high-dimensional manifolds and the study of the homotopy type of the moduli space thereof. Following [CDH⁺21], we introduce the notion of Poincaré categories, which provide a general framework to obtain homotopical/derived analogues of the above, as well as genuine homotopical versions (there is a difference!).

1. QUADRATIC FUNCTORS AND HERMITIAN CATEGORIES

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable ∞ -category, and $\mathfrak{Q}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ a reduced functor (i.e. $\mathfrak{Q}(0) = 0$). The composition

$$\mathfrak{Q}(x) \oplus \mathfrak{Q}(y) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(x \oplus y) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(x) \oplus \mathfrak{Q}(y)$$

agrees with the identity, and so we find a splitting

$$\mathfrak{Q}(x \oplus y) \simeq \mathfrak{Q}(x) \oplus \mathfrak{Q}(y) \oplus \mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(x, y).$$

The functor $\mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{Q}}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ is called the *cross effect* of $\mathfrak{Q}$ (in the sense of Goodwillie calculus, it is the *second* cross effect). The inclusion $\mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(x, x) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(x \oplus x)$ refines to a map $\mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(x, x)_{\mathrm{h}C_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(x)$ upon taking homotopy C_2 -orbits with respect to the flip action.

Definition 1.1. A reduced functor $\mathfrak{Q}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ is *quadratic* if its cross effect is symmetric bilinear (i.e. preserves exact sequences in both variables), and the cofibre of the canonical map $\mathrm{B}_{\mathfrak{Q}}(x, x)_{\mathrm{h}C_2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}(x)$, denoted by $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{Q}}$, is linear.

Remark 1.2. This is equivalent to the reduced functor $\mathfrak{Q}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ being 2-excise in the sense of Goodwillie calculus.

Definition 1.3. A Hermitian category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Q})$ consists of a stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a quadratic functor $\mathfrak{Q}: \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$.

Hermitian categories assemble into an ∞ -category $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{h}}$ with objects being Hermitian categories, and morphisms between Hermitian categories $(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{Q})$ and $(\mathcal{C}', \mathfrak{Q}')$ consisting of

- (i) a functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ between stable ∞ -categories, and
- (ii) a natural transformation $\eta: \mathfrak{Q} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}^* \mathfrak{Q}'$.

Given a stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}$, we may endow it with different quadratic functors (called *Hermitian structures*). Roughly speaking, to provide a quadratic functor on $\mathcal{C}$, we ought to give a symmetric

bilinear and a linear part, together with the datum of how they “glue” together. That is, Hermitian structures $\text{Fun}^q(\mathcal{C})$ on $\mathcal{C}$ are classified by the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}^q(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ar}(\text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp})) \\ \text{B}_{(-)}^{\text{h}C_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\ \text{Fun}^s(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{(\Delta^*(-))^{\text{t}C_2}} & \text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp}). \end{array}$$

Here, $\text{Fun}^s(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the category of symmetric bilinear functors to spectra, $\text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp})$ the category of linear functors to spectra, and $\text{Ar}(-)$ denotes the arrow category. The morphism $(\Delta^*(-))^{\text{t}C_2}$ takes a symmetric bilinear functor, pre-composed with the diagonal map $\Delta: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$, and forms its Tate construction with respect to the homotopy C_2 action given by flipping the variables.

Example 1.4. Let $B: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ be a symmetric bilinear functor. We define $\Omega_B^s(x) := B(x, x)^{\text{h}C_2}$ as well as $\Omega_B^q(x) := B(x, x)_{\text{h}C_2}$, and claim that these define quadratic functors. They are clearly reduced since B is bilinear. In either case, it is easily verified that the cross effect is simply given by the symmetric bilinear functor B . Thus, it is left to verify that the cofibre of the maps $B(x, x)_{\text{h}C_2} \rightarrow \Omega_B^s(x)$ and $B(x, x)_{\text{h}C_2} \rightarrow \Omega_B^q(x)$ is linear. In the first case, this map is the norm map, and we obtain $B(x, x)^{\text{t}C_2}$ which is linear. In the second case, the map is the identity whose cofibre is 0, which is certainly linear.

Example 1.5. Let R be a commutative ring, and $\mathcal{D}^p(R)$ the perfect derived category of R . Consider the symmetric bilinear functor defined by $B(x, y) := \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L y, R)$. The quadratic functors obtained by applying the previous example serve as homotopical analogues of symmetric and quadratic R -valued forms from the introduction. We write Ω_R^s and Ω_R^q respectively.

2. POINCARÉ CATEGORIES

Definition 2.1. A Poincaré category is a Hermitian category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ such that there exists a duality functor $D_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with the property that $B_{\mathcal{Q}}(-, y) = \text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(-, D_{\mathcal{Q}}(y))$.

Note that being Poincaré is a property, not a datum. In this case, the cross effect of $\mathcal{Q}$ is said to be *perfect*. By replacing symmetric bilinear functors $\text{Fun}^s(\mathcal{C})$ by *perfect* symmetric bilinear functors $\text{Fun}^{\text{ps}}(\mathcal{C})$, we obtain the classification of Poincaré structures $\text{Fun}^p(\mathcal{C})$, given by the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}^p(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ar}(\text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp})) \\ \text{B}_{(-)}^{\text{h}C_2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ev}_1 \\ \text{Fun}^{\text{ps}}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{(\Delta^*(-))^{\text{t}C_2}} & \text{Fun}^{\text{ex}}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Sp}). \end{array}$$

Example 2.2. Let R be a commutative ring, and consider $\mathcal{D}^p(R)$. The symmetric bilinear functor $B(x, y) = \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L y, R)$ is perfect by “currying”:

$$\text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L y, R) \simeq \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x, \text{RHom}(y, R)),$$

and so the duality is given by sending an object y to $\text{RHom}(y, R)$.

Example 2.3. Let R be a commutative ring, and consider $\mathcal{D}^p(R)$. We give a family of Poincaré structures with underlying cross effect given by $B(x, y) = \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L y, R)$. Recall the classification of Poincaré structures, which, spelt out, results in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L x, R)_{\text{h}C_2} & \longrightarrow & \Omega(x) & \longrightarrow & \Lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \text{B}_{\mathcal{Q}}(-)^{\text{h}C_2} & & \downarrow \\ \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L x, R)_{\text{h}C_2} & \longrightarrow & \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L x, R)^{\text{h}C_2} & \longrightarrow & \text{map}_{\mathcal{D}^p(R)}(x \otimes_R^L x, R)^{\text{t}C_2}. \end{array}$$

Furthermore, we have equivalences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(-, M) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(x \otimes_R^L x, R)^{\mathrm{t}C_2} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(-, R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}) \end{array}$$

which are induced by the classification of linear functors $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$. The R -module structure on $R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}$ is obtained via the composition $R \rightarrow (R \otimes R)^{\mathrm{t}C_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}$ of the Tate diagonal followed by the multiplication map. Thus, to give a Poincaré structure on $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)$ whose cross effect is $\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(- \otimes_R^L -, R)$, we need to provide an R -module M together with a morphism $\alpha: M \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}$. We give a family of interesting examples.

- (i) Let $M = \tau_{\geq k} R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}$ together with the canonical map $\alpha: \tau_{\geq k} R^{\mathrm{t}C_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}$. We denote the resulting Poincaré structure by $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq k}$, and remark that $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq -\infty} = \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq +\infty} = \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}$.
- (ii) Recall the composition $R \rightarrow (R \otimes R)^{\mathrm{t}C_2} \rightarrow R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}$ (which is called an “ $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}$ -Frobenius”), and denote it by α . Setting $M = R$, we thus obtain the Tate–Frobenius Poincaré structure $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{TF}}$.

The first example is of further interest, especially in the cases $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. Let P be a projective module. Then $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}(P)$ and $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}(P)$ recover symmetric and quadratic R -valued forms on P by applying $\pi_0(-)$. However, $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}(P)$ does have possibly non-trivial homotopy groups in negative degrees, whereas $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}(P)$ has possibly non-trivial homotopy groups in positive degrees (see below). Thus, $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}(P)$ serve as mere homotopical analogues to symmetric and quadratic forms. There are genuine homotopical refinements of symmetric and quadratic forms, given by $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq 0} =: \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{gs}}$ (genuine symmetric) and $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq 2} =: \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{gq}}$ (genuine quadratic) respectively. They have the following property. For a projective module P , we obtain $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{gs}}(P) = \mathrm{HHom}_R(P \otimes_R P, R)^{C_2}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{gq}}(P) = \mathrm{HHom}_R(P \otimes_R P, R)_{C_2}$, i.e. the homotopy groups are concentrated in degree zero. This follows from [CDH⁺21, Lemma 4.2.3], which essentially states that $\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(P \otimes_R^L P, R)$ is contained in the heart of spectra, as well as an application of the exact sequences

$$\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq k}(x) \longrightarrow \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(x, \tau_{\geq k} R^{\mathrm{t}C_2})$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq k}(x) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}(x) \longrightarrow \mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(x, \tau_{\leq k-1} R^{\mathrm{t}C_2}).$$

Namely, $\mathrm{map}_{\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{p}}(R)}(P \otimes_R^L P, R)$ being in the heart of spectra implies that $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}(P)$ is co-connective, and $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}(P)$ is connective. The two exact sequences further imply that $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq k}(P)$ is both connective and co-connective for $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, thus lies in the heart of spectra as well. Comparing $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_R^{\geq k}(P)$ to $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{q}}(P)$ and $\pi_0 \mathcal{Q}_R^{\mathrm{s}}(P)$ yields the claim about the genuine variants.

Example 2.4. We remark that if $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a Poincaré category, so is $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}[n])$, where $\mathcal{Q}[n](x) := \mathcal{Q}(x)[n]$, i.e. the shifted spectrum.

Remark 2.5. Just as in the case of Hermitian categories, Poincaré categories assemble into a category of Poincaré categories, denoted by $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{p}}$. However, it is not a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{h}}$; the morphisms are assumed to be “compatible” with the duality. That is, a morphism between Poincaré categories is a morphism between the underlying Hermitian categories such that the natural transformation $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{Q}} \Rightarrow \mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{Q}'} \circ \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{op}}$ is an equivalence (see [CDH⁺21, Lemma 1.2.4] and [CDH⁺21, Definition 1.2.5] for the definition of the natural transformation).

3. POINCARÉ OBJECTS

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ be a Hermitian category. A *form* in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ consists of $x \in \mathcal{C}$ as well as a point $q \in \Omega^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}(x)$ (equivalently a map $q: \mathbb{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(x)$).

One should think of $\mathcal{Q}(x)$ providing the spectrum of “forms” on x , with the flavour (e.g. quadratic/symmetric/skew-symmetric/even) of the form being dictated by the definition of $\mathcal{Q}$. Choosing an element $q \in \Omega^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}(x)$ corresponds to choosing a specific form on x .

Definition 3.2. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ be a Poincaré category. A *Poincaré object* in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ is a form (x, q) such that the induced map $q_{\#}: x \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$ is an equivalence. The map $q_{\#}$ is given as the image of q under the composition

$$\Omega^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}(x) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}(x \oplus x) \longrightarrow \text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x))$$

where the second map is the projection onto the cross effect.

Remark 3.3. One can show that forms and Poincaré objects assemble into animae $\text{Fm}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ and $\text{Pn}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ respectively. Furthermore, there are functors $\text{Fm}: \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{h}} \rightarrow \text{An}$ and $\text{Pn}: \text{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{p}} \rightarrow \text{An}$, which are both co-represented by the universal Poincaré structure $(\text{Sp}^{\text{fin}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\text{u}})$ on finite spectra, where $\mathcal{Q}^{\text{u}} := \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{S}}^{\text{TF}}$.

Example 3.4. Consider the Poincaré category $(\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\text{s}}[-n])$. Let M be a closed, connected, HZ -oriented manifold of dimension n , with associated co-chain complex $C^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$, which we may view as an object in $\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(\mathbb{Z})$. The form

$$q: C^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}^L C^*(M) \xrightarrow{-\cup-} C^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{-\cap[M]} \mathbb{Z}[-n]$$

given by the intersection product induces a map $q_{\#}: C^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{*-n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ to the shifted chain complex. Poincaré duality is equivalent to this map being an equivalence.

4. HYPERBOLIC AND METABOLIC CATEGORIES

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a stable ∞ -category. The *hyperbolic category* is defined as $\text{Hyp}(\mathcal{C}) = (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}_{\text{hyp}})$ with $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) := \text{map}_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$. It is easy to verify that $\text{Hyp}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Poincaré category with duality $D_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) = (y, x)$.

The Poincaré objects of $\text{Hyp}(\mathcal{C})$ are particularly simple: The duality $D_{\text{hyp}}(x, y) = (y, x)$ pins down Poincaré objects to satisfy that the map $q: (x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$ is an equivalence. Thus, the anima $\text{Pn}(\text{Hyp}(\mathcal{C}))$ is equivalent to the anima of objects in $\mathcal{C}$ together with equivalences—that is, the core groupoid $\mathcal{C}^{\simeq}$.

Definition 4.2. Let (x, q) be a Poincaré object in a Poincaré category $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$. A *Lagrangian* of (x, q) consists of

- (i) a map $f: L \rightarrow x$,
- (ii) a null-homotopy $\eta: f^*(q) \sim 0$ in $\Omega^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}(L)$, and
- (iii) we require that the null-homotopy induces an exact sequence $L \rightarrow x \simeq D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \longrightarrow D_{\mathcal{Q}}(L)$.

If (x, q) admits a Lagrangian (which is not specified), we call the Poincaré object *metabolic*.

Example 4.3. The example one should keep in mind is that of null-bordisms. If $(M, \partial M)$ is a connected, HZ -oriented manifold of dimension n , the map $i^*: C^*(M) \rightarrow C^*(\partial M)$ is a Lagrangian, and we obtain Poincaré Lefschetz duality from the third point (Exercise).

Definition 4.4. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ be a Poincaré category. We define the *metabolic category* as $\text{Met}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}) := (\text{Ar}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{Q}_{\text{met}})$, where $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{met}}(L \rightarrow x) := \text{fib}(\mathcal{Q}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(L))$. As the fibre of a map of quadratic functors, this defines a quadratic functor, and, in fact, a Poincaré structure with duality given by $D_{\text{met}}(L \rightarrow x) = \text{fib}(D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{Q}}(L)) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$.

As with the Poincaré objects of the hyperbolic category, we already know the Poincaré objects of the metabolic category. For Poincaré objects $(L \rightarrow x, q)$ we require an induced equivalence $x \simeq D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$ and the sequence $L \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{Q}}(x) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{Q}}(L)$ to be exact. However, this is precisely the datum of a Lagrangian. Thus, $\text{Pn}(\text{Met}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}))$ is equivalent to the anima of Poincaré objects in $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ together with a *specified* Lagrangian $f: L \rightarrow x$ (so, there is more data than just the metabolic objects).

5. THE ALGEBRAIC THOM ISOMORPHISM

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})$ be a Poincaré category. We briefly state the algebraic Thom isomorphism, which asserts that there is an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Pn}(\mathrm{Met}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Fm}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}[-1])$$

of anima. This can be shown via the following fact: The inclusion $i: \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{h}}$ admits a right adjoint r (it also admits a left adjoint), such that $(r \circ i)(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}) = \mathrm{Met}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}[1])$. Then, using that $(\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{fin}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{u}})$ co-represents both Fm and Pn , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Pn}(\mathrm{Met}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})) &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{p}}}((\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{fin}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{u}}), \mathrm{Met}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q})) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{p}}}((\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{fin}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{u}}), (r \circ i)(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}[-1])) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{h}}}(i(\mathrm{Sp}^{\mathrm{fin}}, \mathcal{Q}^{\mathrm{u}}), i(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}[-1])) \simeq \mathrm{Fm}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{Q}[-1]). \end{aligned}$$

We give a concrete description of the map: A Poincaré object (x, q) together with a specified Lagrangian $(f: L \rightarrow x, \eta)$ gets sent to the form consisting of the object $\mathrm{fib}(f)$, together with two null-homotopies of $q|_{\mathrm{fib}(f)}$:

- (i) the canonical null-homotopy coming from the fibre sequence $\mathrm{fib}(f) \rightarrow L \rightarrow x$, and
- (ii) the one induced by the null-homotopy $\eta: f^*(q) \sim 0$ in $\Omega^{\infty}\mathcal{Q}(L)$.

We now apply the slogan “in homotopy theory, if two things are trivial for different reasons, the situation is not trivial any more”, and find that the two null-homotopies define a loop in $\mathcal{Q}(\mathrm{fib}(f))$, and thus an element in $\mathcal{Q}[-1](\mathrm{fib}(f))$.

REFERENCES

- [CDH⁺21] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonatan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle, *Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories I: Foundations*, 2021. [1](#), [3](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHAMPTON, UNITED KINGDOM
Email address: `maximilian.hans@soton.ac.uk`